

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re:	Chapter 11
LIMETREE BAY SERVICES, LLC, <i>et al.</i> ,	Case No. 21-32351 (DRJ)
Debtors. ¹	(Jointly Administered)
David M. Dunn, as Liquidating Trustee of the LBR Liquidating Trust,	Plaintiff,
v.	Adv. No. Refer to Summons
Advanced Overhead Crane Services, Inc.,	
Defendant.	

COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 547, 548, AND 550 AND TO DISALLOW CLAIMS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502

David M. Dunn, as Liquidating Trustee of the LBR Liquidating Trust (the “**Plaintiff**” or “**Trustee**”), by and through his undersigned counsel, files this complaint (the “**Complaint**”) to avoid and recover transfers against Advanced Overhead Crane Services, Inc. (“**Defendant**”) and to disallow any claims held by Defendant. In support of this Complaint, Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief that:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Plaintiff seeks to avoid and recover from Defendant, or from any other person or entity for whose benefit the transfers were made, all (a) preferential transfers of property that

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, as applicable, are: Limetree Bay Services, LLC (1866); Limetree Bay Refining Holdings, LLC (1776); Limetree Bay Refining Holdings II, LLC (1815); Limetree Bay Refining, LLC (8671); Limetree Bay Refining Operating, LLC (9067); Limetree Bay Refining Marketing, LLC (9222). The Debtors’ mailing address is Limetree Bay Services, LLC, 11100 Brittmoore Park Drive, Houston, TX 77041.

occurred during the ninety (90) day period prior to the commencement of the bankruptcy proceedings of Limetree Bay Services, LLC and its affiliated debtors (collectively, the “**Debtors**”)² pursuant to sections 547 and 550 of chapter 5 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and, subject to proof, (b) transfers that may have been fraudulent conveyances pursuant to sections 548 and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code.

2. In addition, Plaintiff seeks to disallow, pursuant to sections 502(d) and (j) of the Bankruptcy Code, any claim that Defendant has filed or asserted against the Debtors or that has been scheduled for Defendant. Plaintiff does not waive but hereby reserves all of his rights to object to any such claim for any reason, including, but not limited to, any reason set forth in sections 502(a) through (j) of the Bankruptcy Code.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas (the “**Court**”) has jurisdiction over this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334 and the *Order of Reference to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 2012-6 (S.D. Tex. May 24, 2012) (Hinojosa, C.J.). The underlying chapter 11 bankruptcy cases are captioned *In re Limetree Bay Services LLC, et al.*, Case No. 21-32351 (DRJ).

4. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). In addition, Plaintiff confirms his consent, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”) 7008 and Rule 7008-1 of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas, to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this Complaint to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent

² The “Debtors” are all entities listed in footnote 1.

consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

5. Venue of the Debtors' chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

6. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief sought herein are sections 502, 547, 548, and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

7. On July 12, 2021 (the "**Petition Date**"), each of the Debtors commenced a chapter 11 case by filing a voluntary petition for relief in this Court under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

8. On July 13, 2021, the Court entered an order authorizing the joint administration of the Debtors' chapter 11 cases for procedural purposes pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) [Docket No. 20].³

9. On May 20, 2022, the Court entered an order confirming the *Combined Disclosure Statement and Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation of Limetree Bay Services, LLC and Affiliated Debtors* (the "**Confirmation Order**" and, as amended, modified, or supplemented, the "**Plan**," respectively). [Docket Nos. 1413-1 (Plan) and 1454 (Confirmation Order)].

10. The effective date of the Plan (the "**Effective Date**") occurred on June 10, 2022. [Docket No. 1511]. In accordance with the Plan and Confirmation Order, the Trust was established as of the Effective Date of the Plan, and the Debtors and the Trustee entered into that certain Liquidating Trust Agreement.⁴

³ All docket items referenced are from Case No. 21-32351, under which the Debtors' bankruptcy cases are jointly administered.

⁴ See Docket No. 1413-3, Ex. C. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Plan, Confirmation Order, and/or Liquidating Trust Agreement.

11. Pursuant to paragraph 11 of the Confirmation Order and Section 9.D of the Plan, the Liquidating Trust Assets (as defined in the Plan), including Preserved Claims and Causes of Action arising under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code, were transferred to the Trust. [Docket No. 1454].

THE PARTIES

12. Pursuant to the Plan, Confirmation Order, and Liquidating Trust Agreement, Plaintiff was appointed as Trustee and was granted the requisite and exclusive authority to appear as the party in interest and prosecute all non-released causes of action under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code, including this avoidance action.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendant was, at all relevant times, a vendor or creditor that provided repair services to or for the Debtors. Upon further information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant's principal place of business has been and is located at 22531 FM 2100 Road, Crosby, Texas 77532. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendant is a corporation residing in and subject to the laws of Texas.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

14. As more fully discussed in the Plan, the Debtors owned and operated an oil refinery (the "Refinery") on the island of St. Croix in the United States Virgin Islands. The Refinery was part of a 2,000-acre industrial complex located on the southern coast of St. Croix comprised of (a) the Refinery and (b) an oil storage facility (or terminal) and docks owned and operated by non-debtor affiliates of the Debtors. After acquiring the Refinery out of certain other chapter 11 cases, the Debtors refurbished the dormant Refinery and its operations were restarted on or about February 1, 2021.

15. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors, as oil refinery operators, maintained business relationships with various business entities and individuals, through which the Debtors regularly purchased, sold, received, and/or delivered goods and services.

16. The financial difficulties that led to the Debtors' decision to file the chapter 11 cases are attributable to a combination of factors, all of which placed significant stress on the Debtors' liquidity position in the months leading up to the Petition Date.⁵ The Debtors began experiencing operational issues shortly after restarting the Refinery, resulting in investigations by the EPA and the DPNR as well as a request from the United States Attorney for the Virgin Islands to visit and tour certain operations at the Refinery. Specifically, in late April 2021, residents in the communities neighboring the Refinery began reporting a foul odor allegedly emanating from the Refinery, which prompted internal investigations as well as inquiries by the EPA and DPNR into the Refinery's emissions of gaseous by-products. Although the investigation concluded that the odor was due, at least in part, to an exposed sewage access point on USVI government property, the investigation also uncovered irregularities in the emission of certain gases from the Refinery. In conjunction with the EPA and DPNR, the Debtors began creating a plan to remedy the issues and ensure emissions complied with applicable standards.

17. Then, on May 12, 2021, an incident occurred at the Refinery—causing a small amount of oil to disperse in certain areas downwind of the Refinery. In response, on May 13, 2021, the Debtors voluntarily ceased all refining operations at the Refinery on a temporary basis to investigate and remedy potential issues prior to any further incidences. Despite the voluntary cessation of operations, on May 14, 2021, the Debtors received an order from the EPA directing

⁵ These factors and events are discussed in greater detail in the Plan, incorporated herein by reference.

the Debtors to immediately cease any and all Refinery operations for a period of 60 days pending the completion of certain operational and compliance audits.

18. Due to these events, the Debtors' investors and lenders began expressing concerns about the ability to restart the Refinery, which severely impacted the Debtors' ability to access funding necessary to maintain operations and preserve the Refinery's assets. Furthermore, certain Debtors and non-debtor affiliates had been named as defendants in multiple class actions lawsuits alleging private causes of action related to purported pollution caused by the Refinery following the February 1, 2021 relaunch. Unable to attract the new capital necessary to fund operational costs during the temporary shutdown and ultimately restart operations after remediating any alleged deficiencies, on June 21, 2021, the Debtors announced that they were suspending indefinitely plans to restart the Refinery.

19. As described in 1.C of the Plan, General Unsecured Claims comprise an impaired class of creditors and are not expected to be paid in full.

20. During the ninety (90) days before and including the Petition Date, that is between April 13 and July 12, 2021 (the "**Preference Period**"), the Debtors continued to operate their business, including the transfer of money, either by checks, cashier checks, wire transfers, ACH transfers, direct deposits, or otherwise, to various entities.

21. Upon information and belief, during the course of their relationship, Defendant and one or more of the Debtors entered into agreements for the purchase of goods and/or services from the Defendant, which are evidenced by one or more contracts, purchase orders, invoices, communications, and other documents (collectively, the "**Agreements**"). The Agreements concerned and related to the goods and/or services provided by Defendant to one or more of the Debtors as described in the "Parties" section of this Complaint. The payments to the Defendant in

respect of the Agreements during the Preference Period are set forth on the Statement of Account, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as Exhibit A. Such details include “Invoice Number,” “Invoice Date,” “Invoice Amount,” and the “Payment Date.”

22. One or more of the Debtors made transfer(s) of an interest of the Debtors’ property to or for the benefit of Defendant during the Preference Period through payments aggregating not less than the amount set forth on Exhibit A hereto (the “**Transfer**” or “**Transfers**”). The details of each Transfer are set forth on Exhibit A and incorporated by reference. Such details include “Check Number,” “Check Amount,” “Check Clear Date,” and “Debtor Transferor(s).”

23. Plaintiff is seeking to avoid all of the Transfers made by the applicable Debtor(s) to Defendant within the Preference Period.

24. Prior to filing this Complaint, and as part of the due diligence requirements under the statutes applicable to this action, Plaintiff undertook a review of the information and data underlying this avoidance action, which review included:

- a. A review and identification of the entities released by the Debtors pursuant to section 14.A of the Plan;
- b. A review and identification of the entities on Exhibit E to the Amended Plan Supplement (Schedule of Preserved Claims and Causes of Action, including Exhibit A(iii) thereto) [Docket No. 1413-5];
- c. A review of the accounts payable information Plaintiff was able to obtain to confirm, to the best of Plaintiff’s ability and upon information and belief, the existence of antecedent debt and payment date data; and
- d. A review of other certain miscellaneous items and information that may have made the pursuit of a preference unviable.

25. Additionally, on or about June 15, 2022, Plaintiff, through counsel, sent a demand letter (the “**Demand Letter**”) to Defendant, seeking a return of the Transfer(s). The Demand Letter indicated the potential statutory defenses available to Defendant pursuant to section 547(c) of the Bankruptcy Code and requested that if Defendant had evidence to support any affirmative defenses, it provide this evidence so Plaintiff could review the same.

26. Plaintiff also performed Plaintiff’s own due diligence evaluation of the reasonably knowable affirmative defenses available to Defendant. As part of Plaintiff’s due diligence, Plaintiff reviewed the Debtors’ books and records in Plaintiff’s possession and identified that Defendant potentially has \$232,478.86 in invoices qualifying for the subsequent new value defense under section 547(c)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code. However, the subsequent new value defense is an affirmative defense, for which Defendant bears the burden of proof under section 547(g). The potential new value is based on the invoice date in the Debtors’ electronic books and records. As the dates for new value are often based on the shipping dates for goods and the actual dates of service for services, this preliminary new value number is subject to adjustment. Accordingly, Plaintiff puts Defendant to its burden of proof to establish it is entitled to this new value.

27. Based upon Plaintiff’s review of the information provided by Defendant prior to filing this Complaint, and after performing Plaintiff’s own due diligence evaluation of the reasonably knowable affirmative defenses to avoidance of the Transfer(s), including the review and evaluation described in the three immediately preceding paragraphs, Plaintiff has determined that Plaintiff may avoid some or all of the Transfers even after taking into account Defendant’s alleged affirmative defenses.

28. During the course of this proceeding, Plaintiff may learn (through discovery or otherwise) of additional transfers made to Defendant during the Preference Period or that may be

avoidable under other provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. It is Plaintiff's intention to avoid and recover all avoidable transfers of property made by the Debtors, as well as interests of the Debtors in property, to or for the benefit of Defendant or any other transferee. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this original Complaint to include the following: (a) further information regarding the Transfer(s); (b) additional transfers; (c) modifications of and/or revision to Defendant's name; (d) additional defendants; (e) additional Debtor plaintiffs; and/or (f) additional causes of action, if applicable (collectively, the "**Amendments**"), that may become known to Plaintiff at any time during this adversary proceeding, through formal discovery or otherwise, and for the Amendments to relate back to this original Complaint.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

First Claim for Relief **(Avoidance of Preference Period Transfers – 11 U.S.C. § 547)**

29. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein, to the extent they are not inconsistent with allegations contained in this First Claim for Relief.

30. As more particularly described on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein, during the Preference Period, the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A made each Transfer to or for the benefit of Defendant in an aggregate amount not less than \$351,831.55.

31. Each Transfer was made from the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A, and constituted transfers of an interest in property of the transferring Debtor(s) as identified on Exhibit A.

32. Defendant was a creditor at the time of each Transfer by virtue of supplying the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A the goods and/or services identified in this Complaint and in the Agreements, as more fully set forth on Exhibit A hereto, for which the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A were obligated to pay following delivery in accordance with the Agreements.

33. Each Transfer was to or for the benefit of a creditor within the meaning of section § 547(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code because each Transfer either reduced or fully satisfied a debt or debts then owed by the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A to Defendant.

34. Each Transfer was made for, or on account of, an antecedent debt or debts owed by the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A to Defendant before such Transfers were made, as asserted by Defendant and memorialized in the Agreements, each of which constituted a “debt” or “claim” (as those terms are defined in the Bankruptcy Code) of Defendant prior to being paid by the transferring Debtor(s) as set forth on Exhibit A hereto.

35. Each Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent. Plaintiff is entitled to the presumption of insolvency for each Transfer made during the Preference Period pursuant to section 547(f) of the Bankruptcy Code.

36. Each Transfer was made during the Preference Period, as set forth on Exhibit A.

37. As a result of each Transfer, Defendant received more than Defendant would have received if: (a) the Debtors’ chapter 11 cases were cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (b) the Transfers had not been made; and (c) Defendant received distributions on account of its debts under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. As evidenced by the Debtors’ schedules filed in the underlying bankruptcy case as well as the proofs of claim that have been received to date, and as described in the Plan and Disclosure Statement, the Debtors’ liabilities exceed their assets such that the Debtors’ unsecured creditors, among other creditors, will not receive payment of their claims in full from the Debtors’ bankruptcy estates.

38. In accordance with the foregoing, each Transfer is avoidable pursuant to section 547(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Second Claim for Relief
(Avoidance of Fraudulent Conveyances – 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B))

39. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all previous allegations as though fully set forth herein, to the extent they are not inconsistent with allegations contained in this Second Claim for Relief.

40. To the extent one or more of the Transfers identified on Exhibit A was not made on account of an antecedent debt, was a prepayment for goods and/or services subsequently received, or was a transfer made by one Debtor without a corresponding transfer into the payment account by the Debtor incurring the debt, Plaintiff pleads in the alternative that the Debtor(s) making such transfer(s) did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for such transfer(s) (the “**Potentially Fraudulent Transfers**”); and

A. The Debtors were insolvent as of the date of the Transfer(s), or became insolvent as a result of the Transfer(s); or

B. The Debtors were engaged, or about to engage, in business or a transaction for which any property remaining with the Debtors was an unreasonably small capital; or

C. The Debtors intended to incur, or believed they would incur, debts beyond their ability to pay upon maturity.

41. Based upon the foregoing, the Potentially Fraudulent Transfers are avoidable pursuant to section 548(a)(1)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Third Claim for Relief
(Recovery of Avoided Transfers – 11 U.S.C. § 550)

42. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein, to the extent they are not inconsistent with the allegations contained in this Third Claim for Relief.

43. Plaintiff is entitled to avoid the Transfer(s) pursuant to section 547(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and/or any Potentially Fraudulent Transfers pursuant to section 548 of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the “**Avoidable Transfers**”).

44. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Avoidable Transfer(s) or the immediate or mediate transferee of such initial transferee or the person for whose benefit the Avoidable Transfer(s) were made.

45. Accordingly, pursuant to section 550(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the Avoidable Transfer(s), plus interest thereon to the date of payment and the costs of this action.

Fourth Claim for Relief
(Disallowance of all Claims – 11 U.S.C. § 502(d) and (j))

46. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein, to the extent they are not inconsistent with allegations contained in this Claim for Relief.

47. Defendant is a transferee of transfers avoidable under sections 547 and/or 548 of the Bankruptcy Code, which property is recoverable under section 550 of the Bankruptcy Code.

48. Defendant has not paid the amount of the Avoidable Transfer(s), or turned over such property, for which Defendant is liable under section 550 of the Bankruptcy Code.

49. Pursuant to section 502(d) of the Bankruptcy Code, any and all Claims of Defendant and/or its assignee, against Plaintiff or the Debtors must be disallowed until such time

as Defendant pays to Plaintiff an amount equal to the aggregate amount of the Avoidable Transfer(s), plus interest thereon and costs.

50. Pursuant to section 502(j) of the Bankruptcy Code, any and all Claims of Defendant, and/or its assignee, against the Debtors previously allowed by the Debtors or by Plaintiff, must be reconsidered and disallowed until such time as Defendant pays to Plaintiff an amount equal to the aggregate amount of the Avoidable Transfer(s).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that the Court grant the following relief against Defendant:

A. On Plaintiff's First, Second, and Third Claims for Relief, judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant avoiding all of the Avoidable Transfers and directing Defendant to return to Plaintiff the amount of the Avoidable Transfers, pursuant to sections 547(b), 548, and 550(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, plus interest from the date of demand at the maximum legal rate and to the fullest extent allowed by applicable law, together with the costs and expenses of this action including, without limitation, attorneys' fees;

B. On Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant disallowing any claims held or filed by Defendant against the Debtors or Plaintiff until Defendant returns the Avoidable Transfers to Plaintiff pursuant to section 502(d) and (j) of the Bankruptcy Code; and

C. Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: July 10, 2023

ASK LLP

By: */s/ Kara E. Casteel*
Joseph L. Steinfeld, Jr., Esq., MN SBN 0266292
Kara E. Casteel, Esq., MN SBN 0389115
Gary Underdahl, Esq., MN SBN 2269585
ASK LLP
2600 Eagan Woods Drive, Suite 400
St. Paul, MN 55121
Telephone: (651) 289-3857
Fax: (651) 406-9676
Email: gunderdahl@askllp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff